

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

JAMES M. HINKLEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

ELDON VAIL, SCOTT RUSSELL,
KERRY ARLOW, JEFFREY L.
CARLSEN, STEVE DEMARS, JOHN
AND JANE DOES 1-32,

Defendants.

No. C12-5969 RBL/KLS

REQUEST FROM COURT FOR
ADDITIONAL BRIEFING FROM
DEFENDANTS

Defendants move this Court to reconsider its previous Order (ECF No. 52). Defendants claim, in part, that the Court has misinterpreted DOC 590.500. ECF No 56. Defendants are directed to review ECF No. 46 in Case No. C10-5250 *Christen v. DOC, et al.* In that case, the defendants advised the Court that DOC 590.500 “includes documents an offender requests in discovery which he must pay to receive.” *Id.* In the case currently before the Court, and in its Motion to Reconsider, the Defendants now say that is not the policy. The Court is requesting additional briefing addressing the apparent inconsistent positions taken by the Department of Corrections.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED:**

(1) Defendants shall provide the Court with additional briefing addressing the specific issue **on or before July 5, 2013.**

1 (2) The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants.
2

3 **DATED** this 19th day of June, 2103.
4

5 
6

7 Karen L. Strombom
8 United States Magistrate Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26